

## **2018 VVAL Volleyball All-League Team**

### **MVP**

- Julia Sangiacomo, OH, #6, Sr. – Justin-Siena

### **First Team**

- Peyton Newell, MB, #7, Sr. – Justin-Siena
- Marianne Fernandez, #4, Jr. – Justin-Siena
- Megan Hanson, MB, #9, So. – Justin-Siena
- Kelsey Klungel, OH, #11, Sr. – Vintage
- Olivia Ilsley, L/DS, #13, Sr. – Vintage
- Maddie Klungel, S/RS, #2, Jr. – Vintage
- Lauren McGuinn, L, #4, Sr. – Casa Grande
- Awelina Fakalata, OH, #12, So. – Casa Grande
- Giana Burton, OH, #10, Jr. – Sonoma Valley
- Marissa White, L/DS, #2, So. – Sonoma Valley
- Hannah Dillingham, OH, #16, Sr. – Petaluma
- Makenna Walls, OH/DS, #10, Sr. - Napa

### **Second Team**

- Shelby Thomason, S, #8, Sr. – Justin-Siena
- Eva Cleary, OH, #12, Jr. – Justin-Siena
- Julia Bodor, MB, #17, So. – Vintage
- Sarah Gauger, S/OH, #22, So. – Vintage
- Kaitlyn Wong, S, #8, Sr. – Casa Grande
- Simone Wright, S, #15, So. – Casa Grande
- Ava Rognlien, S, #23, Sr. – Sonoma Valley
- Gabby Knudsen, RS/OH, #24, Sr. – Sonoma Valley
- Kaleigh Weiand, S/RS, #4, Jr. – Petaluma
- McCauley Smith, OH, #15, Jr. – Napa
- Caytlin Capulong, OH, #4, Jr. – American Canyon

## **2018 VVAL All-League Volleyball Meeting Notes**

### **A. Matters Raised for the League Commissioner**

#### **1. Ref Issues**

- The coaches raised the lack of consistency of pregame protocol with the various ref crews working the matches. The coaches understand the refs work different leagues with different pregame protocols. However, the pregame protocol needs to be consistent with the VVAL bylaws. (See Bylaw 13.304 [“Warm-up shall be five minutes shared time on the court, then minutes on the court for the visiting team and ten minutes on the court for the home team.”].) Beyond having the league commissioner raise the matter with Gary [head ref], the coaches suggested creating a printout of the applicable bylaw and having it available at each match to help ensure pregame protocol remains consistent throughout the season.
- The coaches want to ensure the five minute shared time on the court under Bylaw 13.304 begins once the court is cleared of participants from the JV match. Currently, the five minute shared period begins as soon the JV match ends. Starting the five minute time period without clearing the court of participants leads to inadequate warm-up time for the student-athletes, who often times have been sitting for hours due to the distance of travel between VVAL participating schools.
- The coaches noted refs quickly yellow carded players and coaches this season. The coaches believe the carding of personnel may have been unwarranted and unnecessary under the circumstances.

#### **2. Other Issues**

- The coaches are unsure whether there is a VVAL policy for a team arriving late for a match. If there is not a policy, the coaches request a policy to take into account the long distances teams travel. The roads travelled often are clogged with traffic or may be blocked by an accident.
- The coaches seek clarification of whether a senior student may participate as a player on a JV team.

### **B. Coaching – the coaches voted unanimously for the following:**

1. **No League Playoff** - The coaches do not want a VVAL league playoff for the 2019 season. The coaches reaffirmed the current VVAL round-robin format.
  
2. **Senior Night Protocol** - The coaches seek uniformity for senior night presentations; specifically, as to when presentations take place. The coaches approved having any presentations for graduating seniors take place before the five minute shared warm-up time under Bylaw 13.304. The coaches agreed they would inform the refs about when a particular presentation would take place. Although not mandatory, the coaches additionally agreed they would attempt to limit a presentation to ten minutes. A coach from the team hosting the senior night presentation will inform the opposing coach if a presentation may extend beyond ten minutes.

**C. Proposed Amendments to the VVAL Volleyball Bylaws**

Voted unanimously by all coaches.

Current Bylaw	Proposed Change	Reason
---------------	-----------------	--------

<p>13.802 In the event of a tie in varsity competition, the team with the best record in head-to-head competition shall be given first consideration. If there is still a tie, play-offs shall be scheduled by the numbers drawn by the principals at the beginning of each school year.</p>	<p>13.802 [Amendment]</p> <p>At the conclusion of the last league match, teams will be ranked sequentially by the following ranking statistics: (1) match record (win/loss); (2) set ratio (all sets won divided by all sets played); and (3) points ratio (all scored points for divided by all points scored against).</p> <p>In the event of a tie in match record, ranking statistics will be computed based on teams with the tied match record. In the case of a 2-way tie, the head-to-head match result will resolve the tie. In the case of a 3-way tie or more, the statistics will be used to determine the team's league finish.</p> <p>If there is still a tie after the ranking statistics are implemented, the team's league finish will be decided by the numbers drawn by the principals at the beginning of each school year.</p>	<p>The head-to-head tie breaking statistic does not always resolve a tie situation. In such a situation, the current bylaw does not take into account a team's entire body of work during the season, which could lead to an inequitable result (i.e., the team winning more sets and more points finishing behind a team in the final standings who lost more sets and did not score as many points).</p> <p>This is the second time the coaches have voted to include set ratio and points ratio as tie breaking statistics.</p>
--	---	--

<p>13.804(2) Each team will be awarded first and second team all-league selections based on their team’s finish in the league standings, as follows: . . . Second place: <b>two or</b> three first team and two second team . . .” (emphasis added)</p>	<p>13.804(2) [Modify]</p> <p>Each team will be awarded first and second team all-league selections based on their team’s finish in the league standings, as follows: . . . Second place: three first team and two second team . . .”</p>	<p>The proposed amended eliminates the “two or three” option for the second place team.</p> <p>The coaches want to promote player recognition, especially in a league with a high quality of play and numerous deserving student-athletes.</p>
---	--	--